G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LB. 10-19 Ss. 5,6
LB. 53-18 " 4-6 + 9-11

1919-20.

(LB.)

Dept. No. LB

File No. 40-19

Serial Nos. 1 - 3.

11/12/19

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

LB—4

Serial Nos. 1-3

Part B for December.

SUBJECT.

Tannery Bye-laws.

To The President District Board Bangalore,

No. R. 6803 dated 10-12-19

Ordinary amendment proposed in Sl. 1 in the amended bye-laws No. 5 of the Tannery bye-laws of the Bangalore Dt Bd.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Rec'd: 14/12/19.

G. R. S. III—1] 1919-20.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(LB.)

NOTES.

LB File No. 40-19

FILE HEADING.

Tannery Bye laws.

From The Deputy Commr. Bangalore District

No. Pete 24 / 19-20. Dated 16-8-19 Received 20-8-19.

Diary No. 1394	Enclos. — Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that the tannery merchants have sent him a copy of another memorial submitted by them to Govt, protesting against the system of licenses and offering, in lieu thereof, an annual fee of Rs 50, and states that the amendment

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sanctioned should refer only to the suspension and revocation of a license but not to its grant and requests that the words "the power of suspension or revocation" may be substituted for "this" in the Amended Bye laws.

NOTES—First Sheet.

1394

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 40 of 1919.

Serial No.

1) Submitted with the file relating to the Tannery Bye-laws.

2) An endorsement was granted to the petitioners, stating that the matter is engaging the attention of Govt.

3) Submitted for orders: -

15-9-19 4) Mr. D. Lakshmanaiah will please bring this up. MK 16/9/19.

5) Where is the file relating to the removal of the tannery owners? Put that up please. MK 20/9/19

6) Submitted:

7) The above file has been submitted to the Chief Secretary, in connection with the report of the Deputy Commissioner re: sanitation of the area in which the tanneries are located.

8) Kind reference is invited to Flags A. & Z., especially to the blue pencil mark in Flag A, which refers at Flag Z is an addendum. This addition was made in order to minimise annoyance to the tannery owners as far as possible. The

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

0100010000

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

1896. (3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 40 of 1919.

Serial No.

Amendment Suggested by the D.C. in para 3 of his letter appears quite necessary and may be adopted.

2) As regards the memorial of the farmers it will be dealt separately hereafter.

MK 24/9/19

(Second-memo)

1538/25-9-19

b) When the memorial had been sent up by the farmers

00010001

26/10/19

MK 29/10

1.1) The memorial sent up recently by the farmers is put up with reply to the letter supra. (dt 5 & 8.10.19)

31/10/19.

31/10

X

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

1394

Extract from Second Member's note in the file relating to Tanneries in the Bangalore District. (4

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 40 of 1919

Serial No.

12) The bye-laws framed by the District Board are more drastic than the proposed legislation. There is no need for separate legislation for the purpose. Necessary rules may be framed under Section 30 of the L.B. and V.P. Regulation. I believe in the bye-laws framed by the District Board and approved by the Government, provision has been made for carrying out of the necessary sanitary improvements to the Tanneries.

13) The tanners, I understand object to taking out license on the ground that the system is not in force in Madras. But whatever the Madras practice may be, we cannot relax the rules in this respect. The only point for consideration is whether the large powers taken by the District Board of suspending the license in case of non-compliance of the Sanitary Rules on the part of the tanners should be retained. There is some force in the objection of the tanners that the continuance of this industry will depend entirely upon the good-will of the petty Sanitary Officers and this means exaction and tyranny. This point will be considered in the proper file --. So far as this file is concerned, the idea of separate legislation may be given up.

Itd. M.H.

28-10-19.

14) Submitted for orders and further instructions.

3.11.19.

4/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1394 (5

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 40 of 191 9

Serial No.

15) The bylaws as they now stand (vide flag 7 & amendment flag Z) appear to be quite liberal in their application. There is ~~to~~ some force in the amendment suggested by the D.C. in his letter of the 18th ult. 19. That may be approved. ~~For it is in the interests of the tenancy that~~ the Dt. Board cannot indiscriminately suspend or revoke licenses. It is only after warning and conviction that this power can be exercised. This is a sufficient safeguard for abuse.

MK 8.11.19

(Second member)

16). The Owners may request that his conviction must first be taken and licenses cannot be cancelled.

Ltd 11/11 MK

17) Draft submitted.

13/11 13/11

18) The endorsement not clear & complex words. The Supdt. will have it revised & sent.

MK 17/11

19) Revised draft submitted.

18/11. 19/11

20) For perusal & approval

MK 21/11/19

(Revised)

21.11.19 21/11/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1394

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 40 of 191 9.

Serial No.	
2	No. R 6025 d. 24. 11. 19 Mr. Mod. Abdul Gafur to the Tannery Bangalore Dist With refce to 1 & 2 states that their request re: cancellation of the Tannery bye-laws can not be granted and that their petition is rejected. No. 6026 d. 24. 11. 19, To. The Asst Comr. Bangalore For information & acctg to the above and that states that separate orders will issue re the matter of the amendment proposed in 1. 22) Submitted for orders with refce. to para 15 supra: re: the amendment proposed in the existing Tannery bye-laws, by the Deputation. 1/12. 1/12 23) The amendment is necessary. Put up a draft amendment, omitting the word 'This' as suggested by the Dt. 1/12/19 24) Draft submitted. 2/12 2/12/19 2/12 7/12

2725
6-12-19.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

1394

1

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 40 of 1919

Serial No.	
3.	To the President Dist Board, Bangalore No. R6803 dt 10 12-19 Orders - the amendment proposed in dt in the amended bye-laws re 5 of the Tannery bye-laws of the Bangalore Dist Bd

B

00100001

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 16th August 1919

No. 1624/19-20 LB 40-19-1

From

S.Narayana Rao, Esq.,
Assistant Commissioner,
in charge Bangalore District.

To

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with Government order No. R.16557/L.B.53-18-11 dated 24th June 1919 regarding the sanitation of tanneries in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to report that the tannery merchants have sent me a copy of another Memorial submitted by them to Government, protesting against the system of licenses and offering, in lieu thereof, an annual fee of Rs.50/-, and to request that early orders may be kindly passed in the matter.

2. The objections raised by the merchants received careful attention before the bye-laws were approved.

3. It appears to me that the amendment sanctioned in the Government order, quoted above, should refer only to the suspension and a revocation of a license but not to its grant. If this view be held to be correct, the words "the power of suspension or revocation" may kindly be ordered to be substituted for "This" in the amended bye-law, already referred to.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

S. Narayana Rao

Assistant Commissioner,
in charge, Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.

9

WD 1541—GPB—50,000—20-8-19—S

5157 1919.-20

From

The President
Bangalore District Board
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Dept

Dated 16th August 1919

Despatched

Received 19 AUG 1919

General No. Petn 24 19-20 111

No.

Enclosure No.

Solicits orders regarding the request of owners of the tanneries in the Bangalore Taluk to permit them to conduct their business without licenses.

1001

M. Mr. K.G.
25/8/19

15/9/19

G. R. S. VI.—G/9]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT. Memorial of the Tannery Owners in Bangalore District

Section. LB
File No. 40-19
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to. 1394

No. R 6625

ENDORSEMENT. 24.11.19

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191 .

Granted to Mr. Moor Ahmed Sahib and others Tanners in the Bangalore District Bangalore.

Date of issue 24.11.19.

Signed by Mr B. T. Kesava Iyer

0010001

In reply to ~~his~~ their petition dated 7th Aug 1919, praying that the Tannery bye-laws in force in the Bangalore District is informed that may be ~~cancelled~~ repealed ~~for reasons stated in their petition~~, and that the ~~system of licenses~~ only regarding the taking out licenses for the tanneries included in the bylaws may be cancelled

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

for the reasons stated in their petition
~~be cancelled~~, ~~in lieu of the~~
~~annual fee of Rs 50/-~~, Mr. Mödi
Abdul Gafur and others are
informed that Govt ~~regret that~~ do not consider it necessary to
~~repeal the Tannery~~ by-laws ~~cannot be~~ or cancel
~~repealed and that~~ the rule ~~that~~ requiring
~~they should~~ the taking out licenses. Their petition is
~~can not be cancelled~~ accordingly rejected.

By order.

21/11/19
For Secy to Govt
Rev. dept.

21.11.19

Memo. R.6026

Copy of the above is forwarded to ~~the~~ President Dt. Board. Bangalore with
Ref to his letter No Pet C 24/19-10
dated 16th Aug 1919, as regards the
amendments proposed he is informed
that a separate order will issue
in the matter.

By order.

21/11/19
For Secy to Govt
Rev. dept.

21/11.

List of enclosures.

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02160—GPB—2,000—27-4-16.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Amendment of the Tanners Bye-laws of the Bangalore District

Diary No. of letter replied to 1394

Section LB
File No. 40-19
Serial No. 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 10-12-19

Signed by Mr B. T. Veeraraghavacharya

Read:- Again:- G.O. No. R 5678-91 LB 35-17-2 dated 8th November 1918, sanctioning revised bye-laws for the control of tanneries in the Bangalore District.

Read:- G.O. No. R 1655-71/LB 53-18-11 dated 24th June 1919 sanctioning the addition of an extra sentence at the end of bye-law No. 5, sanctioned in the G.O. read above.

3. Letter No. Pet C 24/19-20, dated

over.

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Order Thereon:— No.

T 0001000

F

Z

16th August 1919 from the President District Board Bangalore requesting sanction of Govt for the substitution of the words "the power of suspension or revocation" for "This" in the amended bye-law No 5 sanctioned in the G.O. read above:

P U D

order thereon: R.O.S.O. 9/10-12-19.

Govt. consider that the amendment proposed by the President is necessary and are accordingly pleased to direct that the words "the power of ~~revoke~~ suspension or revocation" be substituted for "this power" occurring in the last sentence of the amended bye-law No. 5

2. The President is requested to give a wide publicity to the

Govt. are pleased & order that the following Amendment to the amended bylaw No 5 of the Tannery bylaws sanctioned in G.O. of 8.12.16 and amended in G.O. of 24.6.19:—

For the word "This" occurring in the bye law "This power shall not be exercised"

Substitute

~~and~~ "The power of suspension & revocation"

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

alteration of the bye-laws

The President of the Bd. is requested to submit 12 copies of the modified bye-laws for record in this office.

MS
2.7.19

for Secy to Govt
Rev. Dept.

To The President Dt. Board
Bangalore

MS.

ml

0010001

GPB—20,000—7-7-14